



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,873	07/27/2000	ANDREW BRUCE HOLMES	C1043/7023	3741
22852	7590	05/14/2002	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			TRUONG, DUC	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1711	16			
DATE MAILED: 05/14/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

T.P

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
EXAMINER			
ART. UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
		16	
DATE MAILED			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) is extended to run _____ or continues to run 3 months from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 5/6/57 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 - a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

2. Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: None

Claims objected to: 13

Claims rejected: 1-7, 9, 11-12, 14-24 and 30-31

However;

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): _____

Duc Truong
DUCTRUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because *for the reasons as stated in the last office action. The copy of references hereinafter fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commercial in scope w/ the claimed invention in position 1-7.*
 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented. *11-12, 17-26 and 30-31 are very broad, more specifically, claim 12, requires a 1,4 polyether unit vinyloxy units w/ adjacent substituents, which are based on the teachings of the references. The claimed methods require polymerizing a bis(hexamethyl substituted amine) in the presence of a base (t-butoxide). The references clearly disclose these (See last page).*
- The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
 Other